arXiv:math/0511164v1 [math.AP] 7 Nov 2005

Entire positive solutions of the singular Emden-Fowler equation with nonlinear gradient term

Teodora-Liliana Dinu
Department of Mathematics, "Fraţii Buzeşti" College, 1100 Craiova, Romania
Email : `tldinu@gmail.com`

Abstract

Let p and q be locally Hölder functions in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $p > 0$ and $q \geq 0$. We study the Emden-Fowler equation $-\Delta u + q(x)|\nabla u|^a = p(x)u^{-\gamma}$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, where a and γ are positive numbers. Our main result establishes that the above equation has a unique positive solutions decaying to zero at infinity. Our proof is elementary and it combines the maximum principle for elliptic equations with a theorem of Crandall, Rabinowitz and Tartar.

Keywords: Emden-Fowler equation, singular elliptic equation, entire solution, maximum principle.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35A05, 35B50, 35J60, 58J05.

1 Introduction and the main result

Singular semilinear elliptic problems have been intensively studied in the last decades. Such problems arise in the study of non-Newtonian fluids, boundary layer phenomena for viscous fluids, chemical heterogenous catalysts or in the theory of heat conduction in electrically conducting materials. For instance, problems of this type characterize some reaction-diffusion processes where the unknown $u \geq 0$ is viewed as the density of a reactant (see, e.g., [1]). In this framework a major place is played by the Emden-Fowler singular equation

$$-\Delta u = p(x)u^{-\gamma}, \qquad x \in \Omega, \tag{1}$$

where Ω is an open set (bounded or unbounded) in $\mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 3$), $\gamma > 0$, and $p : \Omega \to (0, \infty)$ is a continuous function. For a comprehensive study of the Emden-Fowler equation we refer to [7, 8, 9, 13, 14, 20, 21] and the references therein. If Ω is bounded, Lazer and McKenna proved in [16] that (1) has a unique positive solution if p is a smooth positive function. The existence of entire positive solutions for $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and under certain additional hypotheses has been established in Edelson [8] and in Kusano-Swanson [14]. For instance, Edelson proved the existence of a solution provided that

$$\int_1^\infty r^{N-1+\lambda(N-2)} \max_{|x|=r} p(x)dr < \infty,$$

for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. This result is generalized for any $\gamma > 0$ via the sub and super solutions method in Shaker [20] or by other methods in Dalmasso [7]. For further results related to singular elliptic equations we also refer to [3, 4, 5, 6, 11].

The purpose of this paper is to extend some of these results in the more general framework of singular elliptic equations with nonlinear gradient term. Problems of this type arise in stochastic control theory and have been first studied in Lasry and Lions [15]. The corresponding parabolic equation was considered in Quittner [19]. Elliptic problems with nonlinear gradient term have been also studied in various contexts (see, e.g., [2, 12, 17, 18]).

We study the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + q(x)|\nabla u|^a = p(x)u^{-\gamma} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N \\ u > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ \lim\limits_{|x|\to\infty} u(x) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where $N \geq 3$, $a > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$. We assume throughout this paper that p, $q \in C^{0,\alpha}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $p > 0$ and $q \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Set

$$\Phi(r) = \max_{|x|=r} p(x). \tag{3}$$

We impose no growth hypothesis on q but we suppose that p satisfies the following decay condition to zero at infinity:

$$\int_0^\infty r\Phi(r)dr < \infty. \tag{4}$$

In particular, potentials $p(x)$ which behave like $|x|^{-\alpha}$ as $|x| \to \infty$, with $\alpha > 2$, satisfy this assumption.

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Under the above hypotheses, problem (2) has a unique classical solution.*

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We first establish the existence of at least one solution of problem (2). For this purpose, for any integer $n \geq 1$, we consider the auxiliary boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + q(x)|\nabla u|^a = p(x)u^{-\gamma} \quad \text{in} \quad B_n \\ u > 0 \quad \text{in} \quad B_n \\ u = 0, \quad \text{on} \quad \partial B_n, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where $B_n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N;\ |x| < n\}$. We observe that the function $\underline{u} = \varepsilon\varphi_1$ is a subsolution of (5), provided that $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, where $\varphi_1 > 0$ is the first eigenfunction of $(-\Delta)$ in $H^1_0(B_n)$. In order to find a supersolution of (5), we observe that any solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = p(x)u^{-\gamma} \quad \text{in} \quad B_n \\ u > 0 \quad \text{in} \quad B_n \\ u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial B_n \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

is a supersolution of (5). But problem (6) has a solution, by Theorem 1.1 in Crandall, Rabinowitz and Tartar [6]. Denote by u_n this solution. By standard bootstrap arguments (see [10]), $u_n \in C^2(B_n) \cap C(\overline{B_n})$. Also, by the maximum principle, it follows that $u_n \leq u_{n+1}$ in B_n. Until now we know that there exists $u(x) := \lim_{n\to\infty} u_n(x) \leq +\infty$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Next, we establish the existence of a positive smooth function v such that $u_n \leq v$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Let Φ be defined by (3) and set

$$w(r) := K - \int_0^r \zeta^{1-N} \int_0^\zeta \sigma^{N-1}\Phi(\sigma) d\sigma d\zeta,$$

where

$$K := \int_0^\infty \zeta^{1-N} \int_0^\zeta \sigma^{N-1}\Phi(\sigma)\, d\sigma\, d\zeta \qquad \text{for any } r > 0, \tag{7}$$

provided that the integral is convergent. Then $-\Delta w = \Phi(r)$ and $\lim_{r\to\infty} w(r) = 0$.

We prove in what follows that $K < +\infty$. An integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^r \zeta^{1-N} \int_0^\zeta \sigma^{N-1}\Phi(\sigma)\, d\sigma\, d\zeta = (2-N)^{-1} \int_0^r \frac{d}{d\zeta}\zeta^{2-N} \int_0^\zeta \sigma^{N-1}\Phi(\sigma)\, d\sigma\, d\zeta = \\ &(N-2)^{-1} \left(-r^{2-N} \int_0^r \sigma^{N-1}\Phi(\sigma)\, d\sigma + \int_0^r \zeta\Phi(\zeta)\, d\zeta \right). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Next, by L'Hospital's rule,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{r\to\infty} \left(-r^{2-N} \int_0^r \sigma^{N-1}\Phi(\sigma)\, d\sigma + \int_0^r \zeta\Phi(\zeta)\, d\zeta \right) = \\ &\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{-\int_0^r \sigma^{N-1}\Phi(\sigma)\, d\sigma + r^{N-2}\int_0^r \zeta\Phi(\zeta)\, d\zeta}{r^{N-2}} = \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_0^r \zeta\Phi(\zeta)\, d\zeta = \int_0^\infty \zeta\Phi(\zeta)\, d\zeta < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

by our assumption (4). Thus we obtain that $K = (N-2)^{-1} \int_0^\infty \zeta\Phi(\zeta)\, d\zeta < \infty$. So, by the definition of w, $w(r) < (N-2)^{-1} \int_0^\infty \zeta\Phi(\zeta) d\zeta$, for any $r > 0$.

Set

$$v(r) := \left[c(2+\gamma)w(r)\right]^{1/(2+\gamma)},$$

where

$$c := \left[K(2+\gamma)\right]^{1/(1+\gamma)}.$$

In particular, from $w(r) \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$, we deduce that $v(r) \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$. Since w is a decreasing function, it follows that v decreases, too. Hence

$$\int_0^{v(r)} t^{1+\gamma} dt \leq \int_0^{v(0)} t^{1+\gamma} dt = cw(0) = cK = \int_0^c t^{1+\gamma} dt.$$

It follows that $v(r) \leq c$ for all $r > 0$.

On the other hand,

$$\nabla w = \frac{1}{c} v^{1+\gamma} \nabla v \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta w = \frac{1}{c} v^{1+\gamma} \Delta v + \frac{1}{c} \left(v^{1+\gamma}\right)' |\nabla v|^2.$$

Hence

$$\Delta v < cv^{-1-\gamma}\Delta w = -c\,v^{-1-\gamma}\Phi(r) \leq -v^{-\gamma}\Phi(r)\,. \tag{9}$$

By (6) and (9) we obtain that $u_n \leq v$ in B_n. Therefore

$$u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \cdots \leq u_n \leq u_{n+1} \leq \cdots \leq v,$$

with v vanishing at infinity. Now, standard bootstrap arguments (see [10]) imply that $u(x) := \lim_{n\to\infty} u_n(x)$ is well defined and smooth in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Moreover, u is a classical solution of problem (2).

We justify in what follows the uniqueness of the solution to problem (2). Suppose that u and v are arbitrary solutions of (2). In order to establish the uniqueness, it is enough to show that $u \leq v$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Arguing by contradiction, it follows that $\max_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N}(u(x) - v(x)) =: M > 0$. Assume that $u(x_0) - v(x_0) = M$. Then $u(x_0) > v(x_0) > 0$, $\nabla u(x_0) = \nabla v(x_0)$ and $\Delta(u - v)(x_0) \leq 0$. But

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(u-v)(x_0) = \quad & q(x_0)\left[|\nabla u(x_0)|^a - |\nabla v(x_0)|^a\right] + p(x_0)\left(v^{-\gamma}(x_0) - u^{-\gamma}(x_0)\right) = \\ & p(x_0)\left(v^{-\gamma}(x_0) - u^{-\gamma}(x_0)\right) > 0,\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. This implies that $u \leq v$, and so $u = v$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. □

References

[1] R. Aris, *The Mathematical Theory of Diffusion and Reaction in Permeable Catalysts*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1975.

[2] C. Bandle and E. Giarrusso, Boundary blow-up for semilinear elliptic equations with nonlinear gradient terms, *Advances in Differential Equations* **1** (1996), 133-150.

[3] A. Callegari and A. Nashman, Some singular nonlinear equations arising in boundary layer theory, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **64** (1978), 96-105.

[4] A. Callegari and A. Nashman, A nonlinear singular boundary value problem in the theory of pseudoplastic fluids, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **38** (1980), 275-281.

[5] M. M. Coclite and G. Palmieri, On a singular nonlinear Dirichlet problem, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **14** (1989), 1315-1327.

[6] M. G. Crandall, P. H. Rabinowitz and L. Tartar, On a Dirichlet problem with a singular nonlinearity, *Comm. Partial Diff. Equations* **2** (1977), 193-222.

[7] R. Dalmasso, Solutions d'équations elliptiques semi-linéaires singulières, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **153** (1988), 191-201.

[8] A. Edelson, Entire solutions of singular elliptic equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **139** (1989), 523-532.

[9] W. Fulks and L. S. Maybee, A singular nonlinear equation, *Osaka J. Math.* **12** (1960), 1-19.

[10] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2001.

[11] S. M. Gomes, On a singular nonlinear elliptic problem, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **17** (1986), 1359-1369.

[12] N. Grenon and C. Trombetti, Existence results for a class of nonlinear elliptic problems with p-growth in the gradient, *Nonlinear Analysis* **52** (2003), 931-942.

[13] Z. Jin, Solutions for a class of singular semilinear elliptic equations, *Nonlinear Analysis, T.M.A.* **31** (1998), 475-492.

[14] T. Kusano and C. A. Swanson, Entire positive solutions of singular semilinear elliptic equations, *Japan J. Math.* **11** (1985), 145-155.

[15] J. M. Lasry and P.-L. Lions, Nonlinear elliptic equations with singular boundary conditions and stochastic control with state constraints; the model problem, *Math. Annalen* **283** (1989), 583-630.

[16] A. C. Lazer and P. J. McKenna, On a singular nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **111** (1991), 721-730.

[17] H. Mâagli and M. Zribi, Existence and estimates of solutions for singular nonlinear elliptic problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **263** (2001), 522-542.

[18] C. Maderna, C. D. Pagani and S. Salsa, Quasilinear elliptic equations with quadratic growth in the gradient, *J. Differential Equations* **97** (1992), 54-70.

[19] P. Quittner, Blow-up for semilinear parabolic equations with a gradient term, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **14** (1991), 413-417.

[20] A. W. Shaker, On singular semilinear elliptic equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **173** (1993), 222-228.

[21] J. S. Wong, On the generalized Emden-Fowler equation, *SIAM Review* **17** (1975), 339-360.